arXiv:1606.02681v3 [math.RA] 12 Sep 2016

ALGEBRAS OF CUBIC MATRICES

M. LADRA, U.A. ROZIKOV

Abstract. We consider algebras of $m \times m \times m$-cubic matrices (with $m = 1, 2, \dots$). Since there are several kinds of multiplications of cubic matrices, one has to specify a multiplication first and then define an algebra of cubic matrices (ACM) with respect to this multiplication. We mainly use the associative multiplications introduced by Maksimov. Such a multiplication depends on an associative binary operation on the set of size m. We introduce a notion of equivalent operations and show that such operations generate isomorphic ACMs. It is shown that an ACM is not baric. An ACM is commutative iff $m = 1$. We introduce a notion of accompanying algebra (which is m^2-dimensional) and show that there is a homomorphism from any ACM to the accompanying algebra. We describe (left and right) symmetric operations and give left and right zero divisors of the corresponding ACMs. Moreover several subalgebras and ideals of an ACM are constructed.

1. Introduction

Cubic matrices arise as a matrix of structural constants in a finite-dimensional algebra. This cubic matrix generates an evolution quadratic operator in dynamical systems modeling in different disciplines, such as population dynamics [10], physics [16], economy [3].

In [4, 5] Etherington introduced the formal language of abstract algebra to the study of genetics. In recent years many authors have tried to investigate algebras arising in natural sciences (see for example [10, 13, 15, 18]).

The algebra of quadratic (square) matrices is well studied (see for example [9]). To the best of our knowledge, there are few articles with some results on the algebras of cubic matrices. In this paper we will give a systematic study of the algebras of cubic matrices.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to main definitions and remarks. We define algebras of $m \times m \times m$-cubic matrices (with $m = 1, 2, \dots$). Since there are several kinds of multiplications of cubic matrices, one has to specify a multiplication first and then define an algebra of cubic matrices (ACM) with respect to this multiplication. In this paper we mainly use associative multiplications which were introduced by Maksimov [11]. Such a multiplication depends on an associative binary operation on the set of size m. In Section 3 we introduce a notion of equivalent operations and show that such operations generate isomorphic ACMs. The result of Section 4 is that an ACM is not baric. In Section 5 we introduce a notion of accompanying algebra (which is m^2-dimensional) and show that there is a homomorphism from any ACM to the accompanying algebra. In Section 6 we show that an ACM is commutative iff $m = 1$, describe (left and right) symmetric operations and give left and right zero divisors of the corresponding ACMs. Finally, Section 7 is devoted to the construction of subalgebras and ideals of an ACM.

2. Definitions

Let F be a field, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vector space over F equipped with an additional binary operation from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\cdot$ (we will simply write xy instead $x \cdot y$). Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an *algebra* over F if the following identities hold for every x, y and z in $\mathcal{A}$, and every λ and μ in F: $(x + y)z = xz + yz$, $x(y + z) = xy + xz$, $(\lambda x)(\mu y) = (\lambda\mu)(xy)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16-XX.

Key words and phrases. Cubic matrix, finite-dimensional algebra; commutative; associative.

For algebras over a field, the bilinear multiplication from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$, is completely determined by the multiplication of the basis elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Conversely, once a basis for $\mathcal{A}$ has been chosen, the products of the basis elements can be set arbitrarily, and then extended in a unique way to a bilinear operator on $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., the resulting multiplication satisfies the algebra laws.

A homomorphism between two algebras, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, over a field F, is a map $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that for all $\lambda \in F$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x); \qquad f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y); \qquad f(xy) = f(x)f(y).$$

If f is bijective then f is said to be an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

Given a field F, any finite-dimensional algebra can be specified up to isomorphism by giving its dimension (say m), and specifying m^3 structure constants c_{ijk}, which are scalars. These structure constants determine the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ via the following rule:

$$e_i e_j = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{ijk} e_k,$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_m$ form a basis of $\mathcal{A}$.

Thus the multiplication of a finite-dimensional algebra is given by a cubic matrix (c_{ijk}). Following [1, 11, 12] recall a notion of cubic matrix and different associative multiplication rules of cubic matrices: a cubic matrix $Q = (q_{ijk})_{i,j,k=1}^{m}$ is a m^3-dimensional vector which can be uniquely written as

$$Q = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m} q_{ijk} E_{ijk},$$

where E_{ijk} denotes the cubic unit (basis) matrix, i.e. E_{ijk} is a m^3- cubic matrix whose (i,j,k)th entry is equal to 1 and all other entries are equal to 0.

Denote by $\mathfrak{C}$ the set of all cubic matrices over a field F. Then $\mathfrak{C}$ is an m^3-dimensional vector space over F, i.e. for any matrices $A = (a_{ijk}), B = (b_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\lambda \in F$, we have

$$A + B \coloneqq (a_{ijk} + b_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}, \qquad \lambda A \coloneqq (\lambda a_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

Denote $I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Following [11] define the following multiplications for basis matrices E_{ijk}:

$$E_{ijk} *_a E_{lnr} = \delta_{kl} E_{ia(j,n)r}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $a\colon I \times I \to I$, $(j,n) \mapsto a(j,n) \in I$, is an arbitrary associative binary operation (see Remark 2.2 below for associative binary operations) and δ_{kl} is the Kronecker symbol.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_m$ the set of all associative binary operations on I.

The general formula for the multiplication is the extension of (2.1) by bilinearity, i.e. for any two cubic matrices $A = (a_{ijk}), B = (b_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}$ the matrix $A *_a B = (c_{ijk})$ is defined by

$$c_{ijr} = \sum_{l,n:\, a(l,n)=j} \sum_{k} a_{ilk} b_{knr}. \tag{2.2}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{C}_a \equiv \mathfrak{C}_a^m = (\mathfrak{C}, *_a)$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$, the algebra of cubic matrices (ACM) given by the multiplication $*_a$.

Remark 2.1. Recently in [1] the authors provided 15 associative multiplication rules of cubic matrices, some of them coincide with Maksimov's multiplication rules. It was proved that between the 15 algebras corresponding to the multiplications there are 5 non-isomorphic associative algebras. In [12] there are other kinds of multiplications for cubic stochastic matrices.

In general, one can fix an $m^3\times m^3\times m^3$- cubic matrix $\left(C^{uvw}_{ijk,lnr}\right)$ as a matrix of structural constants and give a multiplication of basis cubic matrices as

$$E_{ijk}E_{lnr}=\sum_{uvw}C^{uvw}_{ijk,lnr}E_{uvw}.$$

Then the extension of this multiplication by bilinearity to arbitrary cubic matrices gives a general multiplication on the set $\mathfrak{C}$. Under known conditions (see [7]) on structural constants one can make this general ACM as a commutative or/and associative algebra.

Remark 2.2. We note that the number of operations on I with $|I|=m$ is m^{m^2}. Let $\tau(m)$ be the number of distinct associative binary operations on a set of size m, i.e $\tau(m)=|\mathcal{O}_m|$. It is quickly increasing function of m which can be seen from the following: ([2])[1]

m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\tau(m)$	1	8	113	3492	183732	17061118	7743056064	148195347518186

Thus the family of multiplications (2.1) is rich, therefore in this paper we only consider multiplications of the form (2.1).

Remark 2.3. As it was mentioned above, there is one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathbf{A}$ of all n-dimensional algebras (with a fixed basis) and the set of all cubic matrices (of size n^3, defined by structural constants). This correspondence can be used to consider $\mathbf{A}$ as an algebra (of algebras), i.e. for any algebra $U\in\mathbf{A}$ with matrix of structural constants $A=(a_{ijk})$, and $V\in\mathbf{A}$ with matrix of structural constants $B=(b_{ijk})$, we define $U+V$ as an algebra with matrix of structural constants $A+B$. For $\lambda\in F$ we define the algebra λU with matrix of structural constants λA. Fix a multiplication of cubic matrices, say $\star$, and define multiplication $U\star V$ to be an algebra with matrix of structural constants $A\star B$. Thus $(\mathbf{A},\star)$ is an algebra of algebras. Some properties of the ACM (with multiplication $\star$) can be related to properties of $\mathbf{A}$.

3. Isomorphic ACMs

Let S_m be the group of permutations on I.

Take $a\in\mathcal{O}_m$ and define an action of $\pi\in S_m$ on a (denoted by πa) as

$$\pi a(i,j)=\pi a(\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j)),\qquad \text{for all}\ \ i,j\in I.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $a\in\mathcal{O}_m$ and any $\pi\in S_m$ we have $\pi a\in\mathcal{O}_m$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that πa is an associative operation, i.e.

$$\pi a(\pi a(i,j),k)=\pi a(i,\pi a(j,k)),\quad \text{for all}\ \ i,j,k\in I. \tag{3.1}$$

For LHS of this equality we have

$$\pi a(\pi a(i,j),k)=\pi a\Big(\pi\big(a(\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j))\big),k\Big)=\pi a\Big(a\big(\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j)\big),\pi^{-1}(k)\Big).$$

For RHS of (3.1) we get

$$\pi a(i,\pi a(j,k))=\pi a\Big(i,\pi\big(a(\pi^{-1}(j),\pi^{-1}(k))\big)\Big)=\pi a\Big(\pi^{-1}(i),a\big(\pi^{-1}(j),\pi^{-1}(k)\big)\Big).$$

Since a is associative and π is one-to-one we have

$$\pi a\Big(a\big(\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j)\big),\pi^{-1}(k)\Big)=\pi a\Big(\pi^{-1}(i),a\big(\pi^{-1}(j),\pi^{-1}(k)\big)\Big),$$

i.e. (3.1) holds. □

[1]see also http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/105438/how-many-associative-binary-operations-there-are-on-a-finite-set

So, we have an action of the symmetric group, S_m, on $\mathcal{O}_m$, the set of all associative binary operations on I.

The *orbit* of $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ under the action of S_m is $\mathrm{Orb}(a) = \{\pi a : \pi \in S_m\}$. Note that the set of orbits of (points $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$) under the action of S_m form a partition of $\mathcal{O}_m$. The associated equivalence relation is defined by saying $a \sim b$ if and only if there exists a $\pi \in S_m$ with $\pi a = b$. The orbits are then the equivalence classes under this relation; two operations a and b are equivalent if and only if their orbits are the same.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the isomorphness of ACM.

Theorem 3.2. *If $a \sim b$, i.e. there exists a permutation $\pi \in S_m$ such that $\pi b = a$, that is*

$$a(j,n) = \pi^{-1}(b(\pi(j), \pi(n))), \qquad \text{for all } \ j,n \in I, \tag{3.2}$$

then the algebras $\mathfrak{C}_a$ and $\mathfrak{C}_b$ are isomorphic.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 it follows that if $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ and $b \sim a$ then $b \in \mathcal{O}_m$. Consider $f(E_{ijk}) = E_{\pi(i)\pi(j)\pi(k)}$ and check

$$f(E_{ijk} *_a E_{lnr}) = f(E_{ijk}) *_b f(E_{lnr}).$$

This equality is equivalent to

$$\delta_{kl} E_{\pi(i)\pi(a(j,n))\pi(r)} = \delta_{\pi(k)\pi(l)} E_{\pi(i)b(\pi(j),\pi(n))\pi(r)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since π is one-to-one map on I, we have $\delta_{kl} = \delta_{\pi(k)\pi(l)}$ for any k, l. Consequently, if $\delta_{kl} = 0$, i.e., $k \neq l$ then (3.3) holds. If $\delta_{kl} = 1$, i.e $k = l$ then (3.3) is reduced to the form

$$E_{\pi(i)\pi(a(j,n))\pi(r)} = E_{\pi(i)b(\pi(j),\pi(n))\pi(r)}.$$

This is true because of condition (3.2). □

Remark 3.3. In [11] it was proved that the algebra $\mathfrak{C}_a$ is associative for each $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ and isomorphic to the tensor multiplication of the algebra of square matrices and the semigroup algebra with respect to the basis I and operation a.

Definition 3.4. An operation $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ is called *symmetric* if its orbit under the action of S_m consists only of a itself, $\mathrm{Orb}(a) = \{a\}$, i.e.

$$a(j,n) = \pi(a(\pi^{-1}(j), \pi^{-1}(n))), \qquad \text{for all } j,n \in I, \ \text{ for all } \pi \in S_m.$$

(Equivalently, a is fixed point for every $\pi \in S_m$)

Proposition 3.5. *An operation $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ is symmetric if and only if it is one of the following operation:*

$$a(i,j) = j, \qquad \text{for all } \ i,j \in I, \tag{rs}$$

$$a(i,j) = i, \qquad \text{for all } \ i,j \in I. \tag{ls}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume a is (rs) (the case (ls) is similar). We show that a is symmetric. Take any $\pi \in S_m$. Then for any $i, j \in I$ we have

$$\pi a(i,j) = \pi a(\pi^{-1}(i), \pi^{-1}(j)) = \pi(\pi^{-1}(j)) = j = a(i,j),$$

i.e. a is fixed point for any π.

Necessity. Assume a is different from (rs) and (ls). The assertion is trivial for $|I| = m = 1$. Case $m = 2$ discussed in Example 3.7 below, which shows that proposition is true for $m = 2$. Therefore we consider the case $m \geq 3$. Then the following cases are possible for a which is different from (rs) and (ls):

Case 1: There is $i \in I$ such that $a(i,i) = k$ with $k \neq i$. Consider a permutation π_0 such that $\pi_0(i) = i$, $\pi_0(k) \neq k$. Then

$$\pi_0 a(i,i) = \pi_0 a(\pi_0^{-1}(i), \pi_0^{-1}(i)) = \pi_0(k) \neq k = a(i,i),$$

i.e. a is not fixed point of π_0.

Case 2: There are $i, j \in I$ such that $i \neq j$ and $a(i,j) = k$. For k we have several possibilities:

Subcase 2.1: $k \neq i, j$.

Subsubcase 2.1.1: $m = 3$. Without loss of generality assume $a(1,2) = 3$. Using $a(1,1) = 1$ and associativity of a compute $a(1,3)$. We should have $a(a(1,1),3) = a(1,a(1,3))$. This gives $a(1,3) = a(1,a(1,3))$. Denoting $x = a(1,3)$ we obtain $x = a(1,x)$. From above mentioned equalities for a we see that x can be 1 or 3 but not 2, i.e. $x \neq 2$. Define $\pi_2(1) = 1$, $\pi_2(2) = 3$, $\pi_2(3) = 2$, then

$$\pi_2 a(1,3) = \pi_2 a(\pi_2^{-1}(1), \pi_2^{-1}(3)) = \pi_2(a(1,2)) = \pi_2(3) = 2 \neq x = a(1,3),$$

i.e. a is not fixed point of π_2.

Subsubcase 2.1.2: $m > 3$. For $k \neq i, j$ we consider a permutation $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_{ij}$ which satisfies $\tilde{\pi}(i) = i, \tilde{\pi}(j) = j$ and $\tilde{\pi}(k) \neq k$ then we have

$$\tilde{\pi} a(i,j) = \tilde{\pi} a(\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(i), \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(j)) = \tilde{\pi}(k) \neq k = a(i,j),$$

i.e. a is not fixed point of $\tilde{\pi}$.

Subcase 2.2: $a(i,j) = k \in \{i,j\}$ for any i, j. After above mentioned cases remain the operations with property $a(i,j) \in \{i,j\}$ for any i,j, because if $a(i,j) \neq i,j$ for some i,j then we come back to Subcase 2.1.

Subsubcase 2.2.1: $a(i,j) = a(j,i) = i$ for some $i \neq j$. (The case $a(i,j) = a(j,i) = j$ is similar.) Consider permutation π_1 with $\pi_1(i) = j$, $\pi_1(j) = i$ then

$$\pi_1 a(i,j) = \pi_1 a(\pi_1^{-1}(i), \pi_1^{-1}(j)) = \pi_1 a(j,i) = \pi_1(i) = j \neq i = a(i,j).$$

Subsubcase 2.2.2: $a(i,j) = i$, $a(j,i) = j$ for any i,j. In this case a is (ls).

Subsubcase 2.2.3: $a(i,j) = j$, $a(j,i) = i$ for any i,j. In this case a is (rs). This completes the proof. □

Definition 3.6. The symmetric operation (rs) (resp. (ls)) mentioned in Proposition 3.5 is called *right-symmetric* (resp. *left-symmetric*).

Example 3.7.

1. Consider the case $I = \{1,2\}$, then the following 8 operations are associative ([2]):

I	1	2
1	1	1
2	1	1

II	1	2
1	1	1
2	1	2

III	1	2
1	1	1
2	2	2

IV	1	2
1	1	2
2	1	2

V	1	2
1	1	2
2	2	1

VI	1	2
1	1	2
2	2	2

VII	1	2
1	2	1
2	1	2

$VIII$	1	2
1	2	2
2	2	2

One can check that $I \sim VIII$, $II \sim VI$, $V \sim VII$. Therefore these operations are not symmetric. By Theorem 3.2 the ACMs corresponding to equivalent operations are isomorphic. Operations III and IV are symmetric.

2. In the case $I = \{1,2,3\}$, the set $\mathcal{O}_3$ of associative operations contains 113 elements (see [2]), here some examples

i	1	2	3
1	1	2	3
2	2	2	2
3	3	2	2

ii	1	2	3
1	1	2	3
2	2	3	3
3	3	3	3

iii	1	2	3
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	3
3	1	3	1

iv	1	2	3
1	2	2	1
2	2	2	2
3	1	2	3

v	1	2	3
1	1	1	1
2	1	1	2
3	1	2	3

vi	1	2	3
1	3	1	3
2	1	2	3
3	3	3	3

vii	1	2	3
1	1	2	3
2	1	2	3
3	1	2	3

$viii$	1	2	3
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3

It is easy to check that $\mathrm{Orb}(i) = \{i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi\}$, consequently by Theorem 3.2 these six operations define isomorphic ACMs. By Proposition 3.5 we know that the operations *vii* and *viii* are symmetric.

4. Each ACM is not baric

A *character* χ for an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a nonzero multiplicative linear form on $\mathcal{A}$, that is, a nonzero algebra homomorphism $\chi\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ (see for example, [10, page 73]).

A pair $(\mathcal{A}, \chi)$ consisting of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a character χ on $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *baric algebra*.

Theorem 4.1. *Each ACM, $\mathfrak{C}_a$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$, $m \geq 2$, is not baric.*

Proof. Assume $\chi\colon \mathfrak{C}_a \to \mathbb{R}$ is a character. Then for each cubic matrix $X = (x_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ it has the form $\chi(X) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m} \alpha_{ijk} x_{ijk}$. We shall prove that $\chi(X) \equiv 0$. Consider it on basis elements:

$$\chi(E_{ijk}) = \alpha_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i, j, k \in I.$$

We should check $\chi(E_{ijk} *_a E_{lnr}) = \chi(E_{ijk})\chi(E_{lnr})$. Using (2.1) the last equality can be written as

$$\alpha_{ijk}\alpha_{lnr} = \delta_{kl}\alpha_{ia(j,n)r}, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, k, l, n, r \in I.$$

Equivalently,

$$\alpha_{ijk}\alpha_{lnr} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, k \neq l, n, r \in I. \tag{4.1}$$

$$\alpha_{ijk}\alpha_{knr} = \alpha_{ia(j,n)r}, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, k = l, n, r \in I. \tag{4.2}$$

In (4.1) take $i \neq k$ and $l = i$, $n = j$, $r = k$, then we obtain

$$\alpha_{ijk} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \ i \neq k, j \in I. \tag{4.3}$$

Consequently, non-zero coefficient can be only in the form α_{kjk}.

Assume $\alpha_{k_0 j_0 k_0} \neq 0$, for some $k = k_0$ and $j = j_0$. Then from (4.1) we get $\alpha_{lnl} = 0$, for any $l \neq k_0$ and $n \in I$. Using (4.3), from (4.2) for $i = r = k_0$, $k \neq k_0$, we get

$$0 = \alpha_{k_0 jk}\alpha_{knk_0} = \alpha_{k_0 a(j,n)k_0}, \qquad \text{for all } \ j, k \neq k_0, n \in I. \tag{4.4}$$

Write (4.2) for $i = r = k = k_0$:

$$\alpha_{k_0 jk_0}\alpha_{k_0 nk_0} = \alpha_{k_0 a(j,n)k_0}, \qquad \text{for all } \ j, n \in I. \tag{4.5}$$

By (4.5) for $j = n = j_0$ we get

$$\alpha^2_{k_0 j_0 k_0} = \alpha_{k_0 a(j_0, j_0)k_0}. \tag{4.6}$$

Using (4.4), for $j = n = j_0$ we obtain $\alpha_{k_0 a(j_0, j_0)k_0} = 0$. Consequently from (4.6) we get $\alpha_{k_0 j_0 k_0} = 0$. Thus $\chi(X) \equiv 0$. □

5. Accompanying algebra of an ACM

By Theorem 4.1 an ACM is not a baric algebra. This is similar to an algebra of bisexual population [8]. To overcome such complication, Etherington [5] for an algebra of sex linked inheritance introduced the idea of treating the male and female components of a population separately. In [6] Holgate formalized this concept by introducing sex differentiation algebras (which is two-dimensional) and a generalization of baric algebras called dibaric algebras. In this section we shall define an analogue of a sex differentiation algebra for an ACM, in our case it will be an m^2-dimensional algebra.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \eta_{ij} : i, j \in I \rangle$ denote an m^2-dimensional algebra with multiplication table

$$\eta_{ij}\eta_{kl} = \delta_{jk}\eta_{il}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is called the *accompanying algebra*.

The following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 5.2. *The accompanying algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is associative. It is commutative iff $m = 1$.*

Now we define a generalization of a baric and a dibaric algebra.

Definition 5.3. An algebra is called accompanied if it admits a homomorphism onto the accompanying algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.

Theorem 5.4. *For each $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ the algebra $\mathfrak{C}_a$ is accompanied.*

Proof. Consider a linear mapping $\varphi\colon \mathfrak{C}_a \to \mathfrak{A}$ defined on basis elements by

$$\varphi(E_{inj}) = \eta_{ij}, \quad i, n, j \in I.$$

On one hand we have

$$\varphi(E_{inj} *_a E_{krl}) = \delta_{jk}\varphi(E_{ia(n,r)l}) = \delta_{jk}\eta_{il}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\varphi(E_{inj})\varphi(E_{krl}) = \eta_{ij}\eta_{kl} = \delta_{jk}\eta_{il}.$$

Thus

$$\varphi(E_{inj} *_a E_{krl}) = \varphi(E_{inj})\varphi(E_{krl}),$$

i.e. φ generates a homomorphism.

For any $X = (x_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}_a$, i.e.

$$X = \sum_{inj} x_{inj}E_{inj}$$

the homomorphism φ is defined by

$$\varphi(X) = \sum_{inj} x_{inj}\varphi(E_{inj}) = \sum_{ij}\left(\sum_{n} x_{inj}\right)\eta_{ij}.$$

For arbitrary $u = \sum_{ij} u_{ij}\eta_{ij} \in \mathfrak{A}$ it is easy to see that $\varphi(X) = u$ if $\sum_n x_{inj} = u_{ij}$. Therefore φ is onto. □

The accompanying algebra will be useful to study subalgebras of ACM, which we give in a next section.

Remark 5.5. We note that baric algebras are useful to describe biological systems of free (one-sex) populations (see [10]). Dibaric algebras are related to systems of bisexual (two-sex) populations (see [8,13]). But in biology there are unusual systems: swordtail fish, the Chironomus midge species, the Platypus has 10 sex chromosomes but lacks the mammalian sex determining gene SRY, meaning that the process of sex determination in the Platypus remains unknown. Zebra fish go through juvenile hermaphroditism, but what triggers this is unknown. The Platy fish has W, X, and Y chromosomes. This allows WY, WX, or XX females or YY and XY males [14]. Such systems may have "multiple sexes" instead of having only two. In such systems the accompanying algebra will play the role of the sex differentiation algebra. Then an ACM will play the role of an evolution algebra of such biological systems (see [8, 10, 13, 15, 17, 18] for different kinds of evolution algebras).

6. Commutativity and solvability of equations in ACMs

Proposition 6.1. *Algebra $\mathfrak{C}_a$ is commutative for each $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ iff $m = 1$.*

Proof. In case $m = 1$ the algebra is commutative because it is one dimensional, i.e. only with one basis element E_{111}. For $m \geq 2$ taking $k = l$ and $i \neq r$ from (2.1) we get

$$E_{ijk} *_a E_{knr} = E_{ia(j,n)r} \neq 0 = E_{knr} *_a E_{ijk}.$$

□

An element (cubic matrix) A of $\mathfrak{C}_a$ is called a *left* (resp. *right*) *zero divisor* if there exists a nonzero $X \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ such that $A *_a X = 0$ (resp. $X *_a A = 0$).

For a cubic matrix $A = (a_{ijk}) \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ denote

$$A_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ijk}, \quad i, j \in I,$$

and by $\mathbb{B}$ we denote the square matrix $\mathbb{B} = (A_{ik})_{i,k=1}^{m}$, which is called accompanying matrix of the cubic matrix A (see [11]).

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathfrak{C}_a$ be ACM over the field of real numbers.*

(i) *If $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ is a right-symmetric (resp. left-symmetric) operation then a cubic matrix A is a left (resp. right) zero divisor iff* $\det \mathbb{B} = 0$.

(ii) *If $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ is a left-symmetric (resp. right-symmetric) operation then any cubic matrix A is a left (resp. right) zero divisor.*

Proof. (i) For the right-symmetric a we have $a(l, n) = n$ for all l, n. Consequently, the left zero divisibility equation $A *_a X = 0$ can be written as (see (2.2))

$$\sum_{l,n:\, a(l,n)=j} \sum_{k} a_{ilk} x_{knr} = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ilk} x_{kjr} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, r.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{ik} x_{kjr} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, r.$$

Thus $x_{kjr} \neq 0$ iff $\det \mathbb{B} = 0$. The case of left-symmetric is similar.

(ii) For the left-symmetric a we have $a(l, n) = l$ for all l, n. Consequently, the left zero divisibility equation $A *_a X = 0$ can be written as (see (2.2))

$$\sum_{l,n:\, a(l,n)=j} \sum_{k} a_{ilk} x_{knr} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ijk} x_{knr} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, r.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ijk} X_{kr} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \ i, j, r,$$

where

$$X_{kr} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} x_{knr}.$$

Hence independently on values a_{ijk} one can choose x_{knr} (some of them should be non-zero) such that $X_{kr} = 0$ for any k, r. The case of right-symmetric is similar. □

7. Subalgebras of ACM

A subalgebra of an algebra is a subset of elements that is closed under addition, multiplication, and scalar multiplication. A left (resp. right) ideal of an algebra is a linear subspace that has the property that any element of the subspace multiplied on the left (resp. right) by any element of the algebra produces an element of the subspace. A two-sided ideal is a subset that is both a left and a right ideal. The term ideal on its own is usually taken to mean a two-sided ideal.

In the following theorem we collect several subalgebras of ACM.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\mathfrak{C}_a$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$, be an ACM with basis $\{E_{ijk} : i, j, k \in I\}$.*

1. *Let* $J_a = \{a(i,j) : i,j \in I\}$ *be the image of* $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$. *If* $\tilde{J} \subset J_a$ *is an* a*-invariant (i.e.* $a(\tilde{J},\tilde{J}) \subset \tilde{J}$*) then for each fixed* i,k, *the set* $\mathcal{E}^{ik}_{\tilde{J}} = \{E_{ijk} : j \in \tilde{J}\}$ *generates a subalgebra, denoted by* $\mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\tilde{J}}$.
2. *If* $i \neq \tilde{i}$ *or* $k \neq \tilde{k}$, *then* $\mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\tilde{J}} \cap \mathfrak{C}^{(\tilde{i}\tilde{k})}_{a,\tilde{J}} = \{0\}$, *here* 0 *is the zero-cubic matrix.*
3. *If* $\tilde{J}$ *and* $\bar{J}$ *are* a*-invariant sets such that* $\tilde{J} \subset \bar{J}$ *then* $\mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\tilde{J}} \subset \mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\bar{J}}$.
4. *If* $\tilde{J}$ *and* $\bar{J}$ *are* a*-invariant sets such that* $\tilde{J} \cap \bar{J} = \emptyset$ *then* $\mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\tilde{J}} \cap \mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\bar{J}} = \{0\}$.
5. *The set* $\{E_{ijk} : i,k \in I,\ j \in J_a\}$ *generates an ideal, denoted by* $\mathfrak{I}_a$.
6. *The set* $\mathfrak{I}^0_a = \{X = (x_{inj}) \in \mathfrak{C}_a : \sum_n x_{inj} = 0, \ \ \textit{for all} \ \ i,j \in I\}$ *is an ideal.*

Proof. 1. For any two basis elements $E_{ijk}, E_{ink} \in \mathcal{E}^{ik}_{\tilde{J}}$, we have

$$E_{ijk} *_a E_{ink} = \delta_{ki} E_{ia(j,n)k} \in \mathcal{E}^{ik}_{\tilde{J}},$$

because $a(j,n) \in \tilde{J}$. Thus the set $\mathcal{E}^{ik}_{\tilde{J}}$ generates a subalgebra. Note that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{(ik)}_{a,\tilde{J}}$ is with zero-multiplication iff $i \neq k$.

Items 2–4 are straightforward.

5. It is clear that $\mathfrak{I}_a$ is a subalgebra. First we prove that it is a right ideal, i.e. for arbitrary $A \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ and $B \in \mathfrak{I}_a$ one has $A *_a B \in \mathfrak{I}_a$. Let $A = \sum_{ijk} a_{ijk} E_{ijk}$ and $B = \sum_{ink : n \in J_a} b_{ink} E_{ink}$. We have

$$(A *_a B)_{ijr} = \sum_{l,n:\, a(l,n)=j} \sum_k a_{ilk} b_{knr},$$

i.e. $(A *_a B)_{ijr} = 0$ if $j \notin J_a$. Consequently, $A *_a B \in \mathfrak{I}_a$. Similarly one can see that $B *_a A \in \mathfrak{I}_a$. Thus $\mathfrak{I}_a$ is an ideal.

6. We note that $\mathfrak{I}^0_a = \ker \varphi = \{X \in \mathfrak{C}_a : \varphi(X) = 0\}$, where φ is constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.4. Therefore it is an ideal. □

For $i \in I$ define the sequence

$$i_0 = i, \qquad i_n = a(i_{n-1}, i_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{7.1}$$

Since I is a finite set the sequence i_n may have one of the following forms:

a. *periodic*: there is a number $p \geq 1$ such that $i_p = i$. Denote by $p_a(i) \geq 1$, the minimal period of i and $I_a(i) = \{i_0 = i, i_1, \ldots, i_{p_a(i)-1}\}$.
b. *convergent*: there is a number $q \geq 1$ such that $i_n = i_q$ for any $n \geq q$.

We note that $I_a(i)$ is not a-invariant, in general. This can be seen in the following example.

Example 7.2. Take $a \in \mathcal{O}_3$ as

a	1	2	3
1	1	2	3
2	2	3	1
3	3	1	2

Then $I_a(1) = \{1\}$, $I_a(2) = \{2,3\}$ and $I_a(3) = \{3,2\}$. We note that $I_a(1)$ is a-invariant, but $I_a(2)$ is not a-invariant, because $a(2,3) = 1 \notin I_a(2)$.

Define *plenary powers* of an element $A \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ as follows:

$$A^{[1]} = A^{(2)} = A *_a A,$$
$$A^{[2]} = A^{(2^2)} = A^{(2)} *_a A^{(2)},$$
$$\cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots$$
$$A^{[n]} = A^{(2^n)} = A^{(2^{n-1})} *_a A^{(2^{n-1})}.$$

For convenience, we denote $A^{[0]} = A$.

Fix a subset $K \subset I$ and construct the following sequens of sets:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{a,0}(K) &= K, \\ J_{a,1}(K) &= J_{a,0}(K) \cup \{a(s,t) : s,t \in J_{a,0}(K)\}, \\ &\cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots \\ J_{a,n}(K) &= J_{a,n-1}(K) \cup \{a(s,t) : s,t \in J_{a,n-1}(K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since I is finite there is $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that

$$J_{a,n}(K) = J_{a,n_0}(K), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Proposition 7.3.

1. *For each $i, j \in I$, the sequence $\{E^{[n]}_{jij}\}$ is periodic (resp. convergent) iff i_n constructed for i by* (7.1) *is periodic (resp. convergent).*
2. *For each $k, n \in I$, $K \subset I$, the set $\{E_{kln} : l \in J_{a,n_0(K)}(K)\}$ generates a subalgebra.*

Proof. 1. It is easy to see that $E^{[n]}_{jij} = E_{ji_nj}$, $i, j \in I$. Thus sequences $E^{[n]}_{jij}$ and i_n have the same behavior.

2. By construction of $J_{a,n_0(K)}(K)$ it is clear that this set is an a-invariant. Therefore the part 1 of Theorem 7.1 completes the proof. □

For $K = \{i\}$ the following example shows that not each a-invariant set of a has the form $J_{a,n_0(i)}(i)$.

Example 7.4. Consider the following operation $a \in \mathcal{O}_3$:

a	1	2	3
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2
3	1	3	3

Then $J_{a,n_0(i)}(i) = \{i\}$, $i \in I = \{1, 2, 3\}$. But the set $\{i, j\}$, for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and I are also a-invariant. Thus any subset of I is an a-invariant. By above mentioned results the ACM corresponding to this example of operation has at least 7 subalgebras.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain), grant MTM2013-43687-P (European FEDER support included), by Xunta de Galicia, grant GRC2013-045 (European FEDER support included) and by Kazakhstan Ministry of Education and Science, grant 0828/GF4: "Algebras, close to Lie: cohomologies, identities and deformations". We thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and for a number of useful suggestions which have led to improvement of the paper.

References

[1] R. Bai, H. Liu, M. Zhang, 3-Lie algebras realized by cubic matrices, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 35 (2) (2014) 261–270.
[2] F. Diego, K. Jónsdótti, Associative operations on a three-element set, TMME 5 (2-3) (2008) 257–268.
[3] A. Dohtani, Occurrence of chaos in higher-dimensional discrete-time systems, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 52 (6) (1992) 1707–1721.
[4] I. M. H. Etherington, Genetic algebras, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 59 (1939) 242–258.
[5] I. M. H. Etherington, Non-associative algebra and the symbolism of genetics, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. Sect. B. 61 (1941) 24–42.
[6] P. Holgate, Genetic algebras associated with sex linkage, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1970/71) 113–120.
[7] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 10, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-London, 1962.

[8] M. Ladra, U. A. Rozikov, Evolution algebra of a bisexual population, J. Algebra 378 (2013) 153–172.
[9] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on modules and rings, vol. 189 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
[10] Y. I. Lyubich, Mathematical structures in population genetics, vol. 22 of Biomathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[11] V. M. Maksimov, Cubic stochastic matrices and their probability interpretations, Theory Probab. Appl. 41 (1) (1996) 55–69.
[12] I. Paniello, Marginal distributions of genetic coalgebras, J. Math. Biol. 68 (5) (2014) 1071–1087.
[13] M. L. Reed, Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 34 (2) (1997) 107–130.
[14] M. Schartl, A comparative view on sex determination in medaka, Mech. Dev. 121 (7-8) (2004) 639–645.
[15] J. P. Tian, Evolution algebras and their applications, vol. 1921 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
[16] F. E. Udwadia, N. Raju, Some global properties of a pair of coupled maps: quasi-symmetry, periodicity, and synchronicity, Phys. D 111 (1-4) (1998) 16–26.
[17] R. Varro, Gonosomal algebra, J. Algebra 447 (2016) 1–30.
[18] A. Wörz-Busekros, Algebras in genetics, vol. 36 of Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.

M. Ladra, Department of Algebra, University of Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Spain.
E-mail address: manuel.ladra@usc.es

U. A. Rozikov, Institute of mathematics, 29, Do'rmon Yo'li str., 100125, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
E-mail address: rozikovu@yandex.ru